

5th April 2015

For the attn of: The Director General.
General Medical Council, Fitness to Practise Directorate,
3 Hardman Street,
Manchester M3 3AW

Ref. My treatment at Aberystwyth eye clinic.

Dear Sirs,

Page 1 of 4 pages. (with 5 pages prev. copy)

Thank you for your reply to my letter. The GMC letter, with the denial of my complaint, disappoints me. It is my firm and honest belief, and following the series of treatments provided by these doctors, consultant Mr Kulshreshka and assistant Dr Paul, it became apparent they had a carefully concealed agenda known only to themselves. Their deliberate and destructive treatments made me blind and they left me in pain.

This is confirmed by the many published papers upon the outcome of their treatment; some by recognised professors of medicine. (Samples enclosed.) It is reasonable to believe that the GMC would be well aware of at least some of these? Yet the GMC has ignored them all in their reply to me by an anonymous doctor.

All the GMC rebuttals, as written to me by the GMC in their letter, I believe to be incorrect. In detail:

1. There is substantial evidence that the use of Lumigan drops, at bedtime, will put the patient in danger. **The doctors who treated me were aware of this; and yet repeatedly they specified only bedtime use to me.**
2. Again, not true. There is substantial evidence the use of Lumigan drops at bedtime can cause NAION. **These doctors knew the use of Lumigan, at bedtime as specified, would almost inevitably induce NAION.**
3. The use of steroids within the first 2 weeks for both NAION (and AION) has been proved to significantly improve the eye sight. **This treatment was repeatedly denied me by these doctors within the critical time.**
4. The MRI scan was described to me by the lady radiologist as completed "as ordered" by Mr Kulshreshka. **While he may not have specified "80 minutes" in actual timing; the different scans and the number of scans ordered by him enabled him to ensure the total duration he wanted me to endure. A long pain inducing time. Again, he was aware this excessive time was longer than the safe limit recommended for a MRI head scan.** These do not include even more physical damage done to my eye. Violent eye poke/scrape by Dr Paul, etc.

Anyone can make a mistake or an error of judgement. These doctors made no mistakes. They persisted with a series of deliberate treatments against my eyes and health; to the level of Evil. After I queried their treatment they: physically damaged my eye, ordered an excessive 80 minute non-stop MRI head scan, and discarded me with continuous head pain. Can the GMC honestly support this treatment? This duty of care?

These doctors, deliberately, "treated" a health man, with exceptionally good eye sight, into being a blinded man in continuous daily head pain. Solely by their treatment. When I complained **they lied about it, and me.** The key word is deliberately. These doctors did *know* what they were doing. They carefully amended these perfectly genuine, correct, treatments to only one end. What *they* wanted to do. If you can accept this you may be able to understand why I am so disappointed in the reply, as expressed to me in the GMC letter. I have been denied by the NHS, and now by the GMC. Without your help many more patients will suffer.

If the GMC is unwilling to help stop this happening to others, then the GMC is also part of the problem. All future blindness and pain, caused by similar treatment, is at the door of the GMC. I have done my best. Thank you for listening to me. May I ask again, *please*, if you can prevent these doctors from giving the same treatment to others that they gave to me, please do so. For the patients, the NHS, and the doctors!

Yours most sincerely,

Jerome Thomas. (engineer retired.)

